

# TORSION POINTS ON ELLIPTIC CURVES OVER QUARTIC NUMBER FIELDS

SHELDON KAMIENNY, WILLIAM STEIN, AND MICHAEL STOLL

ABSTRACT. We complete the proof that there are no elliptic curves over a number field  $K$  of degree  $\leq 4$  that have a  $K$ -rational point of prime order  $> 17$ .

## 1. INTRODUCTION

For an integer  $d \geq 1$ , we let  $S(d)$  be the set of primes  $p$  such there exists an elliptic curve  $E$  over a number field  $K$  of degree  $\leq d$  with a  $K$ -rational point of order  $p$  in  $E(K)$ . Mazur has famously proved that

$$S(1) = \{2, 3, 5, 7\}.$$

Kamienny and Mazur showed that

$$S(2) = \{2, 3, 5, 7, 11, 13\},$$

and Parent, building on earlier work by Kamienny, proved that

$$S(3) = \{2, 3, 5, 7, 11, 13\}.$$

Our main result is the following.

### **Theorem 1.**

$$S(4) = \{2, 3, 5, 7, 11, 13, 17\}.$$

*Proof.* Kamienny and Stein [4] show that  $S(4)$  does not contain any  $p > 31$ . Theorem 10 below shows that  $19, 23 \notin S(4)$ . Theorem 8 below shows that  $31 \notin S(4)$ . Finally, Theorem ?? below shows that  $29 \notin S(4)$ .  $\square$

Should be extended and proper references added.

## 2. THE RESULT

We first state a general results on points of degree  $\leq d$  on a curve over  $\mathbb{Q}$ . All curves will be assumed to be smooth, projective and geometrically integral. We will use  $C^{(d)}$  to denote the  $d$ th symmetric power of  $C$ .

**Proposition 2.** *Let  $C/\mathbb{Q}$  be a curve with Jacobian  $J$ , let  $d \geq 1$  be an integer, and let  $\ell$  be a prime of good reduction for  $C$ . Let  $P_0 \in C(\mathbb{Q})$  be chosen as base-point for an embedding  $\iota : C \rightarrow J$ . We make the following assumptions.*

- (1)  $J(\mathbb{Q})$  is finite.
- (2)  $\ell > 2$  or  $J(\mathbb{Q})[2]$  injects into  $J(\mathbb{F}_\ell)$  (for example,  $\#J(\mathbb{Q})$  is odd).
- (3) There is no rational function in  $\mathbb{Q}(C)^\times$  of degree  $\leq d$ .
- (4) The reduction map  $C(\mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow C(\mathbb{F}_\ell)$  is surjective.
- (5) If  $P \in C(\bar{\mathbb{F}}_\ell) \setminus C(\mathbb{F}_\ell)$  is a point of degree  $d' \leq d$ , then  $\text{Tr}_{\mathbb{F}_{\ell^{d'}}/\mathbb{F}_\ell} \iota(P) \in J(\mathbb{F}_\ell)$  is not in the image of  $J(\mathbb{Q})$ .

Then the only points of degree  $\leq d$  on  $C$  are the rational points on  $C$ .

*Proof.* We will use  $\rho_X$  to denote the reduction map  $X(\mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow X(\mathbb{F}_\ell)$ , where  $X$  is a smooth projective variety over  $\mathbb{Q}$  with good reduction at  $\ell$ .

From assumptions 1 and 2 (and the fact that  $\ell$  is a good prime) we can deduce that  $\rho_J : J(\mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow J(\mathbb{F}_\ell)$  is injective. Assumption 3 implies that the map  $C^{(d)}(\mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow J(\mathbb{Q})$  induced by  $\iota$  is also injective. Considering the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} C^{(d)}(\mathbb{Q}) & \xhookrightarrow{\iota} & J(\mathbb{Q}) \\ \rho_{C^{(d)}} \downarrow & & \downarrow \rho_J \\ C^{(d)}(\mathbb{F}_\ell) & \xrightarrow{\iota} & J(\mathbb{F}_\ell), \end{array}$$

we conclude that  $\rho_{C^{(d)}}$  is injective as well.

Assumption 5 tells us that the image of  $\rho_{C^{(d)}}$  is contained in the image  $C^{(d)}(\mathbb{F}_\ell)_{\text{split}}$  of  $C(\mathbb{F}_\ell)^d$  in  $C^{(d)}(\mathbb{F}_\ell)$ . We now consider the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} C(\mathbb{Q})^d & \longrightarrow & C^{(d)}(\mathbb{Q}) \\ \rho_{C^d} \downarrow & & \downarrow \rho_{C^{(d)}} \\ C(\mathbb{F}_\ell)^d & \twoheadrightarrow & C^{(d)}(\mathbb{F}_\ell)_{\text{split}}. \end{array}$$

The left hand vertical map is surjective by assumption 4, the right hand vertical map is injective by the argument above. We conclude that  $C(\mathbb{Q})^d$  surjects onto  $C^{(d)}(\mathbb{Q})$ . This implies the claim, since a non-rational point of degree  $\leq d$  on  $C$  would induce a point in  $C^{(d)}(\mathbb{Q})$  that is not in the image of  $C(\mathbb{Q})^d$ .  $\square$

We can apply this to torsion points on elliptic curves as follows. We use the cusp at infinity as a base-point on  $X_1(p)$ . Note that  $X_1(p)$  has  $p - 1$  cusps, half of which are rational, the other half being conjugate and defined over the maximal real subfield of  $\mathbb{Q}(\mu_p)$ . William: Can you confirm this?

**Theorem 3.** *Let  $p$  and  $\ell$  be primes with  $\ell \neq p$ , and let  $d \geq 1$ . Assume that*

- (1)  $J_1(p)(\mathbb{Q})$  is finite.
- (2)  $\ell > 2$  or  $\#J_1(p)(\mathbb{Q})$  is odd.
- (3) The order of  $\ell$  in  $\mathbb{F}_p^\times / \{\pm 1\}$  is  $> d$ .
- (4)  $p > (\sqrt{\ell} + 1)^2$ .
- (5) If  $E/\mathbb{F}_{\ell^e}$  is an elliptic curve that has an  $\mathbb{F}_{\ell^e}$ -rational point of order  $p$  and  $e \leq d$ , then the trace of its image in  $J_1(p)$  is not in the image of  $J_1(p)(\mathbb{Q})$ .

*Then there are no elliptic curves  $E/K$  with  $[K : \mathbb{Q}] \leq d$  that have a  $K$ -rational point of order  $p$ , or else there are infinitely many.*

*Proof.* If  $p \leq 7$ , then there are even infinitely many elliptic curves over  $\mathbb{Q}$  with a rational point of order  $p$ . So in the remainder of the proof, we can assume that  $p \geq 11$ .

We use Prop. 2. The first two assumptions in the theorem imply the first two assumptions of the proposition. We will deal with Assumption 3 in the proposition later.

Assumption 3 of the theorem implies that the only cusps of degree  $\leq d$  on  $X_1(p)/\mathbb{F}_\ell$  are the images of the rational cusps (the order of  $\ell$  in  $\mathbb{F}_p^\times / \{\pm 1\}$  gives the length of the Frobenius-orbits on the images of the non-rational cusps). Assumption 4 of the theorem shows that there all points in  $X_1(p)(\mathbb{F}_\ell)$  are cusps. Together with the fact that  $X_1(p)(\mathbb{Q})$  consists of cusps (since  $p \geq 11$ ), this implies assumption 4 of the proposition. Assumption 5 of the theorem then implies assumption 5 of the proposition, since there are no other  $\mathbb{F}_{\ell^e}$ -rational points except for the  $\mathbb{F}_\ell$ -rational cusps.

Now we consider assumption 3 of the proposition. If it holds, we can apply the proposition, and we find that there are no non-rational points of degree  $\leq d$  on  $X_1(p)$ . This implies that there are no elliptic curves over a number field  $K$  of degree  $\leq d$  with a  $K$ -rational point of order  $p$ , since the rational points on  $X_1(p)$  are all cusps (by our assumption that  $p \geq 11$ ).

If assumption 3 of the proposition does not hold, then  $X_1(p)^{(d)} \rightarrow J_1(p)$  is not an embedding. Let  $Y$  be a fiber of positive dimension. If  $Y$  contains a rational point, then  $Y \cong \mathbb{P}^n$  for some  $n \geq 1$ , and so  $Y$  contains infinitely many rational points. Since the image of  $X_1(p)(\mathbb{Q})^d$  in  $X_1(p)^{(d)}(\mathbb{Q})$  is finite ( $X_1(p)(\mathbb{Q})$  is finite), the infinitely many rational points on  $Y$  involve infinitely many non-cuspidal points. Hence there are infinitely many elliptic curves with the required property. If, on

the other hand, none of the positive-dimensional fibers contains a rational point, then the proof of Prop. 2 applies, and there are no elliptic curves with the required properties.  $\square$

**Corollary 4.** *Let  $p$  be a prime and  $d \geq 1$  such that*

- (1)  $J_1(p)(\mathbb{Q})$  is finite.
- (2)  $\#J_1(p)(\mathbb{Q})[2]$  injects into  $J_1(p)(\mathbb{F}_2)$  and  $p > (2^{d/2} + 1)^2$ , or  $p > (3^{d/2} + 1)^2$ .

*The either there are infinitely many elliptic curves  $E/K$  with  $[K : \mathbb{Q}] \leq d$  such that  $E(K)$  contains a point of order  $p$ , or else there are none.*

*Proof.* We take  $\ell = 2$  or  $\ell = 3$  in Thm 3. The first two assumptions in the theorem are satisfied, and so is assumption 4. Assumption 3 follows from  $\ell^d < p + 1$ , which is a consequence of assumption 2 in the corollary. Finally, assumption 5 again follows from the second assumption in the corollary, since by the Hasse bound, any elliptic curve  $E/\mathbb{F}_{\ell^e}$  with  $e \leq d$  satisfies  $\#E(\mathbb{F}_{\ell^e}) < p$ . Therefore the theorem applies.  $\square$

We quote Prop. 6.2.1. of [2] (in an equivalent formulation), adding some more information from Section 6.2 in loc.cit.

**Proposition 5.** *The primes  $p$  such that  $J_1(p)$  has rank zero are the primes  $p \leq 31$  and 41, 47, 59, and 71.*

*For all of these except possibly  $p = 29$ , the Mordell-Weil group is generated by differences of rational cusps, and for all except  $p = 17, 29, 31$  and 41, the order of  $J_1(p)(\mathbb{Q})$  is odd.*

We also quote the main result from [3].

**Theorem 6.** *Exactly the following torsion structures occur infinitely often for elliptic curves over number fields  $K$  of degree  $\leq 4$ .*

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z} \quad \text{for } m \leq 18, \text{ or } m \in \{20, 21, 22, 24\}, \\ &\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/2v\mathbb{Z} \quad \text{for } v \leq 9, \\ &\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/3v\mathbb{Z} \quad \text{for } v \leq 3, \\ &\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/4v\mathbb{Z} \quad \text{for } v \leq 2, \\ &\mathbb{Z}/5\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/5\mathbb{Z} \quad \text{and} \\ &\mathbb{Z}/6\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/6\mathbb{Z}. \end{aligned}$$

*In particular, there are infinitely many such elliptic curves with a  $K$ -rational point of prime order  $p$  if and only if  $p \leq 17$ .*

**Corollary 7.** *Let  $p = 29$  or  $p = 31$ . If  $J_1(p)(\mathbb{Q})[2] \rightarrow J_1(p)(\mathbb{F}_2)$  is injective, then there are no elliptic curves  $E/K$  with  $[K : \mathbb{Q}] \leq d$  such that  $E(K)$  contains a point of order  $p$ .*

*Proof.* By Prop. 5,  $J_1(29)(\mathbb{Q})$  and  $J_1(31)(\mathbb{Q})$  are both finite. Since  $(2^{4/2} + 1)^2 < 29$ , Cor. 4 applies. By Thm. 6, there are at most finitely many elliptic curves over a number field  $K$  of degree  $\leq 4$  that have a  $K$ -rational point of order  $> 17$ . Corollary 4 therefore shows that there are in fact no such curves with a  $K$ -rational point of order  $p$ .  $\square$

We can use this to deal with  $p = 31$ .

**Theorem 8.** *There is no elliptic curve  $E/K$  with  $[K : \mathbb{Q}] \leq 4$  such that  $E(K)$  contains a point of order 31.*

*Proof.* From [2], we know that the 2-part of  $J_1(31)(\mathbb{Q})$  has order 4. We construct  $X_1(31)$  and the intermediate curve  $X_3(31)$  explicitly over  $\mathbb{F}_2$  (they are cut out by quadratic relations between the corresponding cusp forms). We find the  $\mathbb{F}_2$ -rational cusps on  $X_1(31)$  and their image on  $X_3(31)$ . We then check that their differences generate a subgroup of  $J_3(31)(\mathbb{F}_2)$  of order a multiple of 4. This shows that the composition

$$J_1(31)(\mathbb{Q})[2] \longrightarrow J_1(31)(\mathbb{F}_2) \longrightarrow J_3(31)(\mathbb{F}_2)$$

is injective, whence the first map is also injective. The claim then follows from Cor. 7. The computations were done using MAGMA [1].  $\square$

We have not been able to show that  $J_1(29)(\mathbb{Q})[2]$  injects into  $J_1(29)(\mathbb{F}_2)$ . However, we can show the following.

**Proposition 9.** *If differences of the rational cusps on  $X_1(29)$  generate  $J_1(29)(\mathbb{Q})$ , then  $29 \notin S(4)$ .*

*Proof.* Again using MAGMA, we construct  $X_1(29)$  and its quotient  $X_7(29)$  over  $\mathbb{F}_3$ . We check that the images of the points of degrees 3 and 4 on  $X_1(29)/\mathbb{F}_3$  (for degree  $\leq 2$ , there are only the cusps) on  $X_7(29)$  do not map into the subgroup generated by the images of the cusps in  $J_7(29)(\mathbb{F}_3)$ . This establishes assumption 5 of Thm. 3, if the condition in the statement of the proposition is satisfied. The other assumptions also hold, so Thm. 3 together with Thm. 6 implies the claim.  $\square$

**Theorem 10.** *There is no elliptic curve  $E/K$  with  $[K : \mathbb{Q}] \leq 4$  such that  $E(K)$  contains a point of order 19 or 23.*

*Proof.* By Prop. 5,  $J_1(19)(\mathbb{Q})$  and  $J_1(23)(\mathbb{Q})$  are both finite and of odd order. Taking  $p = 19$  or  $23$ ,  $d = 4$ ,  $\ell = 2$  in Thm. 3, we see that the first four assumptions are satisfied. We need to check the last assumption. In fact, an exhaustive enumeration shows that there are no elliptic curves over  $\mathbb{F}_{2^4}$  with a point of order 19 or 23, so the last assumption is trivially satisfied. (For smaller  $e$ , it follows from the Hasse bound, since  $(2^{3/2} + 1)^2 < 19$ .) Invoking Thm. 6 again, we see that Thm. 3 shows that 19 and 23 are both not contained in  $S(4)$ .  $\square$

**Remark 11.** If  $E/\mathbb{F}_{2^e}$  is an elliptic curve with  $e \leq 4$  and a point of order  $p = 19$  or  $23$ , then the Hasse bound forces  $e = 4$  and  $\#E(\mathbb{F}_{2^4}) = p$ . So the number of points must be odd. This implies that  $E$  is supersingular, so  $E$  is a twist of an elliptic curve defined over  $\mathbb{F}_{2^2}$ . All those curves (they are the curves with  $j = 0$ ) have  $\#E(\mathbb{F}_{2^4}) \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ . Does this follow from some theoretical result? If so, we can eliminate the computational part from the proof (other than that going into Prop. 5 and Thm. 6, of course.)

## REFERENCES

- [1] MAGMA is described in W. Bosma, J. Cannon and C. Playoust, *The Magma algebra system I: The user language*, J. Symb. Comp. **24** (1997), 235–265.  
(Also see the Magma home page at <http://www.maths.usyd.edu.au:8000/u/magma/>.)
- [2] B. Conrad, B. Edixhoven, and W.A. Stein,  *$J_1(p)$  has connected fibers*, Documenta Math. **8** (2003), 331–408.
- [3] D. Jeon, C.H. Kim and E. Park. *On the torsion of elliptic curves over quartic number fields*, J. London Math. Soc. **74** (2006), 1–12. doi:10.1112/S0024610706022940
- [4] S. Kamienny and W.A. Stein, *Torsion points on elliptic curves over quartic number fields*, Draft manuscript (2010).

UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA, 3620 SOUTH VERMONT AVE., KAP 108, LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90089-2532, USA

*E-mail address:* kamienny@usc.edu

UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, BOX 354350, SEATTLE, WA 98195-4350, USA.

*E-mail address:* wstein@gmail.com

MATHEMATISCHES INSTITUT, UNIVERSITÄT BAYREUTH, 95440 BAYREUTH, GERMANY.

*E-mail address:* Michael.Stoll@uni-bayreuth.de